COLLEGE OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

DOES THE INDIRECT

VERBAL BEHAVIOR OF A HIGH SCHOOL BIOLOGY TEACHER

INCREASE THE SCORES

WHICH STUDENTS MAKE ON THEIR WRITTEN TEST?

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO

THE GRADUATE STUDIES COUNCIL

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF

MASTER OF ARTS IN EDUCATION

ВҮ

MADONIA BLAKE

ST. THOMAS, VIRGIN ISLANDS
APRIL 19, 1976

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer expresses sincere gratitude to her thesis committee, Dr. Herbert Hoover, my advisor, and Dr. Paul Leary and Mr. Michael Abaray, the two readers, for their assistance in the development and completion of this study.

Gratitude is further expressed to the Chairman of the Science Department at Charlotte Amalie High School, Mrs. Dorris Benjamin, for allowing me to carry on this research within the Science department, also Mrs, Roslyn Rolle and Lane II Biology Classes because without their assistance, this study would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	ii
APPENDI)	X PAGE	iv
LIST OF	TABLES	٧
CHAPTER		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Statement of the Problem Significance of the Problem Objective Hypothesis Basic Assumption Definition of Terms Research Design	
II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	8
III.	DATA AND ANALYSIS	24
IV.	FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	39
APPENDI)	<	45
RIBLINGE	RAPHY	ደባ

APPENDIX A -	Observation Records	45
APPENDIX B -	Sample of Students Check List of Teacher's Verbal Behavior	77
APPENDIX C -	Biology Achievement Test Scores	78
APPENDIX D -	Sample of Standarized Test	82

LIST OF TABLES

1.	Flander's System of Interaction Categories for Interaction Analysis	25
2.	Observation Record	27
3.	Observation Matrix	29
4.	Summary of Interaction Scores	33
5.	Eight Weeks Averages and Percents	34
6.	Student Perception of Teacher's Verbal Behavior	36
7.	Summary of Means and Standard Deviations	38

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In a period wherein marked changes in students, teaching styles, and society are occurring, research into teachers' verbal behavior is imperative. The behavior of the teacher not only influences the cognitive development of students, but students' attitudes as well.

Analyzing student achievement in a verbal instructional setting will be an invaluable aid in determining the effectiveness of the instructional methods, materials, and objectives. Poor student progress may be an indicator of a less than desirable quality of teaching, or it may indicate the need for change in instructional strategies.

Statement of the Problem

Interaction analysis studies by Flanders, Amidon and Hunter, demonstrate that lecturing, giving directions and criticizing activities, having what they call direct influences, tend to restrict pupil participation. Verbal behavior such as asking broad questions, clarifying, making use of the students' ideas, accepting feeling, praising, or encouraging student behavioral activities, having what they call indirect influence, tend to increase student participation. Many science education authorities feel the new curriculum in science lends itself to a greater use of indirect teacher influence in the classroom. Is this happening?

Flanders demonstrated that the behavior of the teacher not only influences the cognitive development of students, but their attitudes as well. The problem is to find out whether the indirect verbal behavior of a high school biology teacher increases the scores which students make on their written test.

Significance of the Problem

Verbal interaction analysis data can assist biology and other teachers in making a more comprehensive assessment of their teaching behavior, which may in turn generate a desire for change or improvement. This study should have an impact on those teaching, preparing for teaching, or those supervising teachers.

<u>Objective</u>

To determine if the indirect verbal behavior of a high school biology teacher influenced scores which students made on their written achievement tests.

Hypothesis

The scores of biology students exposed to an indirect verbal influence will show an increase over those exposed to a direct verbal influence.

Basic Assumption

Teachers can be helped to define accurately their own concepts of desirable or ideal teacher behavior and modify this behavior in the direction of their ideal.

Definition of Terms

Indirect teacher behavior.

Accepts feeling - accepts and clarifies the feeling tone of the sutdents in a non-threatening manner.

<u>Praises or encourages</u> - praises and encourages students action or behavior.

Accepts or uses ideas of students - clarifying, building or developing ideas or suggestions by a student.

<u>Lecture</u> - giving facts or opinions about content or procedure; expressing his own idea; asking rhetorical questions.

<u>Gives directions</u> - directions, commands, or order with which a student is expected to comply.

Student Talk.

Student talk response - talk by students in response to teacher.

Teacher initiates the contact or solicits student statement.

¹Edmund J. Amidon and Ned A. Flanders, <u>The Role of the Teacher in the Classroom</u>. (Minnesota: Paul S. Amidon and Associates, Inc. 1963), p. 12.

²Ibid.

Student talk-initiation - talk by students which they initiate

Silence or confusion - pauses, short periods of silence and

periods of confusion in which communication cannot be understood by

the observer. 3

<u>Verbal Behavior</u> - primarily involving classroom talk, which takes place between teacher and pupils, and occurs during certain definable activities.

<u>Written Achievement test</u> - refers to subject matter content in terms of knowledge and understanding of basic science concepts and principles.

Biology Lane II - students with average grades of "C" and placement based on teacher recommendation.

<u>Trained observer</u> - a person who has learned all the steps of Flander's Interaction analysis process and has developed consistence and reliability in categorizing teacher behavior.

³Ibid

RESEARCH DESIGN

This was an experimental study. The problem was to find out whether the indirect verbal behavior of a high school biology teacher increased the scores which students make on their written tests.

Sample

The 80 subjects for this experimental design were selected on the basis of their teacher's recommendations, and an average grade of "C". A list of student's names were given to the registrar. At the end of the school year, twenty students registered, for general biology and were assigned by the registrar to one of the four sections of Lane II Biology at Charlotte Amalie High School, Saint Thomas, Virgin Islands. The same teacher taught the four classes of twenty students each. Two of the classes were control groups and were instructed by using the direct approach. The other two classes were the experimental classes and were instructed by using the indirect approach.

Procedure

The biology teacher role played the direct and indirect verbal influence. The Flanders' <u>Summary of Categories Interaction Analysis</u> was used by the biology teacher to clarify indirect and direct verbal behavior. An analysis of the classroom verbal influence of the teacher was made by a trained observer. The observer coded the teacher-pupil interaction using Flanders' <u>Summary of Categories Interaction Analysis</u>. The observer visited the teacher's four classes once a week for eight

⁴Ibid.

successive weeks and coded the teacher-pupil interaction on the spot, and the entire discourse was recorded on audiotape for future use.

The data was processed to yield a number of matrices and interaction scores for the teacher.

Data on the perception of the teacher's verbal behavior came from the completion of a checklist by the students on how they perceived their teacher's verbal behavior at the end of the experiment.

A standardized pretest⁵, designed to assess the student's achievement in biology, was administered to the four classes of Lane II Biology, the second week, of September.

On Monday of the following week, one hour each day, five days a week, for ninety days, after the pretest, the teacher began teaching two classes basic concepts of biology using the direct approach, for one hour each day. The subject matter was the same, only the approach was different.

At the end of the instructional period, a different form of the same standardized test, a posttest was given to the four classes of Lane II biology by their teacher.

Analysis

Individual student profiles were made from both tests to facilitate immediate feedback on the different individual student's scores. The means and standard deviation were compared in order to establish the difference between the two test scores, and to determine if there were any increase in the pretest and the posttest scores.

⁵Dr. B.R. Whittenger, <u>Achievement Examinations for Secondary Schools</u> Biology Form 4, University of Minnesota High School, Bobbs-Merrill Co. Inc.

Hypothesis

That, following 90 hours of instruction in general biology, classes exposed to an indirect verbal behavior teaching influence will show gains greater than students in general biology classes exposed to a direct teaching influence. Their posttest scores on a standardized achievement test will show an increase over the direct control group.

Delimitations of Study

This study was delimited to 10th grade Lane II biology students and their teacher at the Charlotte Amalie High School, Saint Thomas, Virgin Islands. Flander's interaction matrice technique was used, this technique does not analyze all teacher effectiveness, only an aspect of student-teacher verbal behavior. This study is concerned only with verbal behavior.

Existing groups were used, therefore, the variables of age, aptitude and intelligence were not taken into consideration when the students were assigned to the various groups.

One trained observer was used. This was the experimenter, a disinterested, but trained, second person was needed to eliminate a potential source of bias.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

In our society, the authority to direct the learning activities of the student is given to the teacher. The students expect the teacher to take charge, to initiate learning activities, and to contribute information as needed in the learning process. What the teacher does with this power makes a great deal of difference in the cognitive and affective development of students.

The review of literature in this chapter is on research into a teacher's verbal behavior and its influence on students' cognitive development. The literature centers on teacher-pupil interaction analysis and research in the classroom using the instruments developed by Flanders.

A study of the teacher's role in the classroom was made by Edmund J. Amidon and Ned A. Flanders. The purpose of this study was to show the relationship between teacher influence patterns and the achievement and attitude of students. The study also showed the effect of direct and indirect teacher influence on student achievement. The teacher's verbal behavior was defined as direct or indirect.

During the first year of study by Flanders, the concepts of teacher influence and goal perception were used with eighth grade students in four experimental treatments in each of two areas, geometry and social studies.

These four treatments were created in a laboratory situation:

- 1. Direct influence with goal clarity.
- 2. Direct influence with goal ambiguity.
- 3. Indirect influence with goal clarity.
- 4. Indirect influence with goal ambiguity.

In each subject area a teacher role-played both direct and indirect teacher influence. Several classroom experimental groups of 20 students each were involved in each treatment, with a total of 560 students participating in the geometry and 480 students in the social studies experiments. I

The second year of the research project involved a field study that tested the same relationship as those tested in the first-year laboratory experiment. There were 900 students participating, half was seventh-grade social studies and half eight-grade geometry students. The 32 teachers, 16 in each subject area, were the regular classroom teachers.

The following conclusions were reached:

- 1. Indirect teachers acted most indirectly when goals were being clarified and when content material was being introduced and acted most directly after goals had been clarified and work was in progress.
- 2. Several students of the most direct teachers learned less as measured by written achievement test than students of indirect teachers.
- 3. In both content areas the students of the more indirect teachers scored higher on the achievement test than students of the more direct teachers.²

This study implied that teachers who are qualified in some content area should be exposed to some type of human relations training to help them attain the following objectives:

¹Edmund J. Amidon and Ned A. Flanders, <u>The Role of the Teacher in the</u> Classroom, (Minnesota: Paul S. Amidon and Associate, Inc., 1963), p.55.

²Ibid., p.56.

- 1. The ability to use the social skills of accepting, clarifying, and using the ideas of students in planning work and diagnosing difficulties.
- 2. Knowledge of those acts of influence that restrict students reactions and those that expand student reactions.
- 3. Understanding of a theory of instruction that can be used to control teachers' Behavior in guiding classroom communication.³

Parakh utilized modifications of the observational techniques developed by Flanders for the study of teacher-pupil interaction in biology classes. Parakh divided his study into two phases to meet his two major objectives:

- 1. To develop a reliable category system for firsthand systematic observation of teacher-pupil interaction in high school biology lecture-discussion-recitation classes and laboratory classes.
- 2. To classify, describe, and analyze the teacher-pupil interaction in high school biology classes.

Classes of ten high school biology teachers from seven secondary public schools in central New York State were observed. Teachers with 2 to 9 years of teaching experience were included from small rural and fairly large urban school districts.

One lecture class and one laboratory class of each of the ten teachers were observed once a week for 4 successive weeks. During each observation visit, the teacher-pupil interaction was categorized or coded on the spot and the entire discourse was also recorded on audiotape for future use.

Data were processed to yield a number interaction matrices and interaction scores for each teacher. However, only composites of average figures are reported. He found the following:

³Ibid., p. 61.

⁴Jal D. Parakh, "A Study of Teacher-Pupil Interaction in High School Biology Classes." (Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University, 1965), p. 5.

- 1. The "average" or "composite" teacher talked 75% of the total time in lecture-discussion classes and about 50% of total time in the laboratory.
- 2. The average teacher devoted relatively little time to motivational aspects such as praising, encouraging, and accepting student performance and ideas about 7% in lectures and 3% in laboratories.

This study should have an impact for those studying biology teaching or those preparing for biology teaching. Broad generalizations about biology teaching cannot be drawn from such data, but a challenge for change speaks out in the research report.

A procedural behavior checklist was developed by refining the Kochendiefer Biology Classroom Checklist and a verbal Interaction Checklist from the instruments of Flanders; Amidon, Hunter, and Parakh by Alex Pogerski and Burton Voss.

Ten public high school biology teachers and a class section under each teacher constituted the sample for this study. All teachers in the study were men; the students in the 10th grade were boys and girls.

Data on the perceptions of the teacher's instructional behavior came from three sources: the complete checklist of teachers on how they perceived their verbal and procedural behavior; the completion of the checklist by the students on how they perceived their teacher's verbal and procedure behavior; and the analysis of the classroom verbal interaction by a trained observer.

⁵Ibid., p. 14

The data were analyzed at the University of Michigan computer center to determine coefficients of correlation and its values for differences. The kinds of behavior reported were statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

There was 87% agreement between the teachers' perception of the same behavior. The ratio of indirect to direct verbal influence, based on significant correlation coefficients, was 1 to 6. Teachers perceived their verbal behavior to be six times more indirect than direct; however, the students did not agree with these perceptions.

The interaction analysis data indicated that the average teacher did the following:

- 1. Talked 61.68% of the total classroom time and 42.76% of the total laboratory time.
- 2. Spent little time in accepting, praising or encouraging student's ideas (4.19% in the classrooms and 2.64% in the laboratory).
- 3. Spent more time in the classroom lecturing, evaluating, and making comparison (11.96%, 4.19%, and 18.24%, respectively, in the classroom and 2.91%, 3.11%, and 3.48% in the lab).
- 4. Almost never made explicit statements about the nature of science (0.19% and 0.0%, respectively, in the classroom and 0.6% and 0.0% in the lab. 6

David Cronk wanted to determine if six elementary school principals from one community who enrolled in a Flanders Interaction System (FIAS) course during the summer of 1967, changed the frequency of their references to verbal behaviors when producing annual written evaluations

Alex Pogerski and Burton Voss, "Evaluating the Biology Teacher's Behavior in the Classroom", <u>The American Biology</u> Teacher 34 (May 1972), pp. 279-281.

of their teachers.

The data for the study were derived from 293 written annual evaluations of 112 teachers produced by these six principals over a six year period. These references noted were divided into two groups, those written during the three years preceding and the three years following the principals' enrollment in the FIAS course. The contents of the annual written evaluations of teachers were initially categorized into two types of references, those concerning verbal behaviors and others. The 274 references to verbal behaviors so obtained were futher classified among ten categories defined for FIAS and a miscellaneous category. From the numerical frequencies thus obtained (with conversions in some instances to percentages) it was possible to make a number of comparisons, for example, among principals and among years. To determine if these were significant differences to teachers' and pupils' verbal behaviors for the three-year period previous to the FIAS course enrollment and the post three-year period, a Chisquare test was employed.

A major finding from this study showed that when a group of selected elementary school principals become knowledgeable about the Flander's Interaction Analysis System (FIAS) they increase their number of written references to teachers' and pupils' verbal behavior.

The purposes of a study done by Dr. Richard J. McLeod were:

- 1. To identify non-random changes which occur in the verbal patterns of student teachers of science who are trained in the Flander's System of Interaction Analysis.
- To search within these verbal patterns for changes that are related to the verbal patterns of their cooperating teachers.
- 3. To compare the findings of this study with those of a study control group not trained in the Flander's technique.

Twelve student teachers in secondary science were observed and their verbal interaction coded using the Flander's System

David Ross Cronk, "Analysis of Principals' References to Verbal behaviors in Written Evaluations on Teachers", <u>Dissertation Abstract</u>, Vol. 33A (1972), p. 99.

of Interaction Analysis, for a total of six class periods. After the initial two observations were completed, the student teachers were given approximately ten hours of instruction in the Flander's System of Interaction Analysis. Six class hours of their cooperating teachers were also observed and coded by means of the Flander's Technique, as they taught the same group of pupils that had been taught by their student teachers.

Secondary science student teachers who had been trained in interaction analysis differ significantly from a control group not thus trained in the following respects:

- They experience more non-random changes in their verbal patterns.
- 2. They experience more non-random changes toward indirect teacher influence and fewer non-random changes toward direct teacher influence.
- 3. They use more indirect teacher influence and less direct teacher influence.
- 4. They are more likely to change their verbal patterns in relation to their cooperating teachers, and these changes are more likely to result in the student teachers' becoming more like their cooperating teachers than less like them.

Perks wanted to find answers to the following questions:

-When administrative policies, laboratory facilities, the economic level of the students' background, the curriculum, and the basic science text are controlled, what is the relationship between junior high school student achievement on recall of factual information and ability to make application to knowledge, and the amount of academic preparation of their science teachers?

Dr. Richard J. McLeod, "Changes in the Verbal Interaction Patterns of Secondary Science Teachers Who Have Had Training in Interaction Analysis and the Relationship of These Changes to the Verbal Interaction of Their Cooperating Teachers", <u>Dissertation Abstracts</u>, Vol. 28 (1967), p. 144.

⁹ Ibid.

-Do behaviors incorporated in the Science Teaching Observation Instrument vary directly and positively with student achievement on recall of factual information and ability to make application?

-Do teachers with different amounts of credit in science differ in the frequency and kinds of behaviors they display in their teaching 10

The entire junior high school science faculty within a single school district, (32 science teachers), made up the population for the study. The background information about teachers was collected from school records, and included the number of credits earned in science courses, in method of teaching science, recentness of course work in science, grade point average in science, and total years of teaching experience. Their teaching behaviors were evaluated using the Science Teaching Observation Instrument (STOI).

The STEP Science Test 3A was used to measure ability to make application of knowledge of junior high school general science in new context. Information on student intelligence was obtained from scores on the California Test of Mental Maturity.

Students were grouped by the teacher and within each of these groups by sex and intelligence levels-lower third, middle third, and upper third. The main score for each group was determined. Below are listed the findings:

 Difference among students' scores on recall of factual knowledge of science and ability to make application could not be significantly (.05) related to the academic preparations in science of their junior high school science teachers.

Dr. Victor Aston Perks, "Junior High School Teacher Preparation, Teacher Behaviors, and Student Achievement", Dissertation Abstracts, Vol. 28 (1967), p. 146.

2. No significant correlations could be found between the frequency a teacher displayed each of the several kinds of behavior studied and the amount of academic credits junior high school science teachers earned in science.

Teachers whose students scored higher on factual information were relatively older, had fewer credits in methods of teaching science, had lower GPA's in science, and had less recently completed a college level science course. Teachers with high grade point averages in sciences, those with more credits in methods of teaching science, and teachers who had more recently completed a college level science course were related to higher student application scores and lower factual scores. Teachers with these backgrounds displayed more frequently those behaviors associated directly (.05) with higher student application scores and less frequently with those behaviors associated with higher factual knowledge scores. They held student discussions more frequently, and less frequently lectured, summarized, and explained; they had students actively participate more frequently in activities such as demonstrations and less frequently conducted demonstrations themselves.

Thomas P. Evans presented a paper to the National Association for Research in Science Teaching on March 8, 1970. The purposes of the Evans paper were to:

- Present a description of the Flander's System and a discussion of its strengths and limitations.
- To review research on science teachers effectiveness which employed the Flander's System to measure classroom interaction.

ll Ibid.

3. To provide recommendations for further research. 12

Listed below are the strengths and limitations pointed out by Evans:

- It provides an objective method of distinguishing teacher behavior from teacher characteristics.
- 2. It attempts to describe rather than to evaluate teacher-learning situation.
- The use of the matrix to analyze data.

Additional strengths follow: (1) the sequence of events are preserved, permitting a study of the ongoing classroom interaction as it evolves; (2) the system is relatively easy to learn and to use; (3) the categories and procedures are defined in such a way that independent observers, after a period of training, are able to reach a high level of intercoder agreement; and (4) the system is not restricted to any particular subject area or grade level. 13

Major limitations of the Flander's System as pointed out by Evans are:

- 1. Its failure to include nonverbal behaviors.
- 2. The failure to provide student-interaction.
- 3. Failure of the system to make allowances for differences or extremes within each category.
- The use of ground rules to eliminate disagreements.

Evans analyzed ten studies, and concluded that the relationship between teaching styles, as measured by the Flander's System, and science teachers were far from being clear.

He made eight recommendations, and stated if these were implemented, the likelihood of identifying certain aspects of science teacher effec-

Thomas P. Evans, "Flander's System of Interaction Analysis and Science Teacher Effectiveness" (Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED 059094, 1970), p. 1.

¹³ Ibid., p. 2.

¹⁴ Ibid., p. 2

tiveness could be improved. (1) Researchers should have some assurance that teachers who participate in teacher effective research know what the criterion instruments purport to measure and agree upon these measures as legitimate objectives of their science teaching. (2) Researchers should not consider the results of applying the system to science classrooms as a complete description of teacher-pupil reaction. (3) Researchers should apply more than one category system to the same teaching-learning situation. (4) Researchers should report their investigation in a more accurate and complete manner. (5) Comprehensive reviews of original research documents could result in a major contribution to teacher effectiveness research. (6) Researchers should analyze the classroom behavior of teachers until they have definitely identified indirect and direct group of science teachers. (7) Researchers should create new paradigms on teacher effectiveness which include classroom behavior, teacher environmental, pupil and criterion variables. (8) Researchers should investigate the effects of teacher-pupil interaction on differential rather than on heterogeneous groups of students. 15

Evans' recommendations can be used by science educators as a framework in their research work. It was pointed out that Flander's System can be a useful instrument if it is used correctly.

A workshop was started during the fall of 1966, by A.A.C.T.E. (American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education), that included the components of Interaction Analysis, Nonverbal Analysis, and Microteaching. It was called Skill Development in Teaching Model of (SKIT). 16

¹⁵ Ibid., p. 8.

Edmund Amidon, "Interaction Analysis and Microteaching Skill Development in Teaching", ED 036 469-69 (1969), p. 1.

The SKIT model can be used to develop specific behavioral skills in both teaching improvement programs for inservice teachers and teacher education programs for teacher trainees. First, an adequate knowledge of the interaction categories is needed to be able to associate the category number with the behaviors included in the categories. Then, the participant role plays a teacher using specific categories and category combinations upon request. 17

In the SKIT model categories in the modified Interaction Analysis System were used. Each category was broken into two to four subcategories. The subcategories were developed from an expanded Interaction analysis system by integrating some of the work of Marie Hughes, Hilda Taba, and James Gallagher, with work done in Interaction Analysis at Temple University.

Four kinds of data collection are used in the SKIT model:

- 1. Data expressed in a category system for behavioral observations.
- 2. Videotape or audiotape recordings of the microlession.
- 3. Perceptions of the participants who play the role of the students.
- 4. Perception of the supervisor. Immediate feedback comes from the collection of the four types of data.

This SKIT model seems to be the answer to many of the questions that have been difficult to answer in teacher education in the Virgin Islands. Interaction analysis and microteaching combined could provide a framework

¹⁷ Ibid., p. 2

¹⁸ Ibid., p. 4.

for increasing almost any verbal or nonverbal teaching skill.

To determine if a measurable relationship could be found between students gains in critical thinking and the teacher's level of self-actualization, Charles R. Coble¹⁹ tested 424 biology students in September and again in early April with the Watson-Geaser Critical Thinking Appraisal Form ZM. The biology teachers - 18 in all - were administered Shostrom's Personal Orientation Inventory (Shostrom, 1966), an inventory for the measurement of self-actualization.

The biology teachers were divided, for analysis, into two groups based upon significant and nonsignificant changes that occurred in their students critical-thinking abilities. Group I was composed of eight teachers selected on the basis of significant changes that occurred in their critical-thinking abilities; Group II was composed of 10 teachers whose students evidenced a nonsignificant change in critical thinking.

The teacher in Group I may be described as behaving more on the basis of internal motivation than on the basis of external influences. His behavior was characterized by a normal degree of flexibility in the application of their values and in the exercise of good judgment. The most distinctive characteristic of teachers in Group I was his expression of good interpersonal relationship. Teachers in this group measured above the norms on the Personal Orientation Inventory (POI) in interpersonal sensitivity – an important characteristic for teachers desirous of establishing a helping relationship in the classroom.

The teachers in Group II might be characterized as excessively concerned with the past or future, relative to the present. In the area of

Charles R. Coble, "Self-Actualization and the Effective Biology Teacher", The American Biology Teacher 35, (November 1973): 479-481.

interpersonal sensitivity, they measure below the norms on the Personal Orientation Inventory (POI) in their ability to cultivate class relationships with other people.

It would be highly premature to suggest, on the basis of this study that measures of teacher self-actualization are predictors of teacher success. There does appear to be justification for further research to determine the relative effectiveness of biology teachers, as well as teachers of other disciplines and related to other variables of students progress.

Will small-group procedures in Junior high school lead to increased achievement, more positive student attitudes and better peer relationship? Robert J. Starr and Carolyn D. Schuerman²⁰ hypothesized that there is no significant difference in achievement in seventh-grade life science between students who were taught by lecture-discussion (large-group made) as measured by a teacher constructed achievement test while statistically controlling for (a) prestudy attitude, (b) IQ and (c) pretest achievement scores.

This study took place in a large junior high school in St. Louis County, Missouri, which enrolled approximately 1,500 students, mainly middle class, in grades 7-0. The study was completed during the spring semester, 1971. Two seventh-grade life science classes, taught by one instructor, were randomly selected for the experiment.

The length of time spent studying the unit was three weeks. During the course of the study, all classes received similiar material; the only

^{20&}lt;sub>Robert J.</sub> Starr and Carolyn D. Schuerman, "An Experiment in Small-Group Learning", The American Biology Teacher 36 (March, 1974): 173-175.

variable was the mode of teaching and learning, with the experimental classes in small-group discussion while the control class received the usual large-group lecture discussion.

The design of the experiment was a pretest post test control group with random selection of intact classes. The data were analyzed by means of a computer program.

Achievement scores for the two groups was similar. However, the experimental students' mean achievement was higher than that of the control pupils when adjusted for IQ or preachievement or preattitude, or combinations of these factors. The experimental students seemed more negative toward the lecture method than the controls, who had a slightly lower IQ.

The review of the literature centered on teacher-pupil interaction and it made clear that teachers must delicately balance their selection of teaching approaches if they are to improve both cognitive achievement and student attitudes toward learning. None of the studies reviewed concluded that any one pattern of teacher behavior was superior to another under all conditions. The effective teacher attempts to vary his approach, and certain types of objectives demand different approaches that are effective for him. The teacher must know when to give praise or reproof, and how to lead students to the proper interpretation of the course. Interaction analysis enables teaching to become visible and concrete so that teachers can really see and understand what they are doing as they teach.

It was brought out in Flander's study that students of the indirect teacher learn more as measured by an achievement test than students of the direct teacher. Also that all types of students learn more working with the more indirect teacher than with the more direct teacher. Dr. Perks,

in his study of <u>Junior High School Teacher Preparation</u> found that teachers who held student discussions more frequently, and lectured, summarized and explained less, had students that made higher application scores and lower factual scores on their achievement tests. These teachers had high grade point averages in science; more credits in methods of teaching science, and had recently completed a college level science course.

Many research students were oriented to student achievement thus pretests and posttests were given, and pupil changes in achievement were reported in an attempt to prove or disprove a hypothesis. This thesis was developed using this design, a pretest was used to measure the amount of understanding the students had of the biology subject matter before the treatment, of a direct and indirect teaching approach, the posttest was used to measure how much learning the students had achieved as a result of the indirect and direct teaching approach of general biology. A review of the preceding studies provided the background for this study.

CHAPTER III

DATA AND ANALYSIS

The purpose of this chapter is to present the analysis of the data collected from the instruments administered to the students, and the instrument measuring indirect and direct influence.

The following information will be presented in this chapter:

- 1. The presentation and analysis of the data on the indirect and direct verbal influence of the teacher.
- 2. The presentation and analysis of the checklist completed by students on the perception of the teacher's verbal behavior.
- The pre-test and post-test scores made by students on the Biology Achievement Examination for Secondary Schools.

Analysis of the Indirect and Direct Verbal Influence of the Teacher.

The technique used to record the sequence of classroom events using <u>Flander's Interaction Analysis</u> consist of entering the sequence of numbers into a 10 row by 10 column table, <u>called a matrix</u>. The generalized sequence of the teacher-pupil interaction can be examined readily in the matrix. The following coding procedure was used:

- 1. A thorough knowledge of the ten categories for interaction analysis so that response is automatic.
- 2. Observer sat in classroom for several minutes to get some idea of the general climate before recording.
- 3. Keeping as steady a tempo as possible, the observer wrote down one category number every five seconds to code the interaction observed in the preceding five seconds interval for forty-two minutes.
- 4. Observer write down the tabulations in the cells of the observation matrixes from the observation record.

5. A description of the classroom interaction based on the tabulations found in the cells of the observation matrix was developed.

TABLE I
FLANDER'S SYSTEM OF INTERACTION ANALYSIS
CATEGORIES FOR INTERACTION ANALYSIS

INDIRECT INFLUENCE	1.	"ACCEPTS FEELING: accepts and clarifies the tone of the students in a non-threatening manner. Feelings may be positive or negative. Predicting or recalling feelings are included.
	2.	"PRAISES OR ENCOURAGES: praises or encourages student action or behavior. Jokes that release tension, but not at the expense of another individual; nodding head, or saying "go on" are included.
	3.	"ACCEPTS OR USES IDEAS OF STUDENTS: clarifying, building, or developing ideas suggested by a student. As teacher brings more of his own ideas into play, shift to category five.
	4.	"ASKS QUESTIONS: asking a question about content or procedure with the intent that a student answer.
	5.	"LECTURING: giving facts or opinions about content or procedures; expressing his own ideas; asking rhetorical questions.
DIRECT INFLUENCE	6.	"GIVING DIRECTIONS: directions, commands, or orders to which a student is expected to comply.
	7.	"CRITICIZING OR JUSTIFYING AUTHORITY: statements intended to change student behavior from nonacceptable to acceptable pattern; bawling someone out; stating why the teacher is doing what he is doing; extreme self-reference.

TABLE I CONTINUED

STUDENT TALK	9.	"STUDENT TALKRESPONSE: talk by students in response to teacher. Teacher initiates the contact or solicits student statements. "STUDENT TALKINITIATION: talk by students which they initiate. If "calling on" student is only to indicate who may talk next, observer must decide whether student wanted to talk. If he did, use this category.
	10.	"SILENCECONFUSION: pauses, short periods of silence and periods of confusion in which communication cannot be understood by the observer.

SOURCE: Ned Flanders, "Intent, Action, Feedback: A Preparation for Teaching", <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u> 14 (September, 1963).

Table I shows the ten categories that must be memorized so that an observer's responses are automatic. These categories may be employed by a teacher either as he observes someone teach or as he categorizes a tape recording of his classroom behavior. In either case, the method is the same.

OBSERVATION RECORD #3

TABLE 2

Time Minutes						ateg	orie	:S						Comme n Les:	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34 35 36 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	6 4 4 5 10 10 5 5 5 5 10 5 2 3 5 1 5 5 2 10 3 10 9 4 9 8 9 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6 8 10 4 10 10 5 5 5 5 8 5 4 7 5 5 5 6 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 0 0 3 9 9 8 5 8 9 8 8 9 9 4 9 8 8	8 8 1 0 8 1 0 3 5 4 5 5 8 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	88040358558567552255555555500399458849592948	88080355555675025555595500995858884948948	8 8 0 4 0 5 5 5 5 5 6 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 8 10 8 0 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 6 9 5 5 5 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 8 10 4 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 8 1 0 4 0 5 5 5 9 0 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 8	8 4 10 8 10 5 8 4 5 5 5 9 10 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			

Table 2 shows a sample of the category numbers that were written down every five seconds to code the interaction observed in the preceding five seconds interval for forty-two minutes. Sometimes a comment was needed to explain the coding. At the end of a class observation of about forty-two minutes, the observation record consisted of about 504 category numbers written in horizontal rows.

TABLE 3 OBSERVATION MATRIX

CLASS CODE NO. D-A

OBSERVER BLAKE DATE 8/15/75

CATEGORY	1	2	. 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL TALLIES
1	1										1
2		1		2	3			1	1	2	10
3	:	2	5	1	2				1		11
4				5	6	3	1	19	4	1	39
5	1	1	1	43	104			8		4	162
6						3		4		10	17 .
7							5		2		7
8		4 '	1	17	9		1	78	1	4	115
9			3	4	6			6	43	4	66
10		1 ·	2	1	21	1				49	75
Total Tallies	2	9	12	73	151	7	7	116	52	74	503
Percent of Total	.39	1.7	2.3	14	30	1.3	1.3	23 ·	10	14	
	Teacher Total: 51 Student Total: 33 Silence: 14										

I/D = .58i/d = 1.6

Table 3 shows a sample of the tabulations made in the matrix cells to represent pairs of numbers from the observation record. Ten was entered as the first number and the last number in the record. This number was chosen because it was convenient to assume each record began and ended with silence. This procedure permitted the total of each column to equal the total of the corresponding row. To check the tabulations in the matrix for accuracy, there should be one less tally in the matrix than there are numbers entered in the original observation record (N-1).

After the observer tabulated a matrix, he developed a description of the classroom interaction. Listed below are some of the steps used:

- 1. Compute the percentage of tallies in each of the columns. This is done by dividing each of the column totals, I through 10, by the total number of tallies in the matrix. This computation gives the proportion of the total interactions in the observed classroom situation found in each category.
- 2. Using the same procedure as "l", determine the percentage of total teacher talk that falls in each category. This is done by dividing the total of each category, l through 7, by the sum of the total number of tallies in the matrix.
- 3. The percentage of student talk, the total number of tallies in columns 8 and 9 is divided: by the total number of tallies in the matrix.
- 4. Next the observer focuses on the relative number of indirect and direct teacher statements. The total number of tallies in columns 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7 are more concerned with motivation and control in the classroom and less concerned with the actual presentation of the subject matter.

The pattern of interaction which the teacher used with the class is now evident in Table 3. The teacher used 30 percent of her time lecturing,

Edmund J. Amidon and Ned A. Flanders. The Role of the Teacher in the Classroom (Minnesota: Paul S. Amidon and Associates, Inc., 1963), pp. 28-30.

category 5, this included giving facts or opinions about content or procedures, expressing her own ideas, or asking rhetorical questions.

Very little time was given for accepting feeling, <u>category 1</u>, 0.39 percent; or praising and encouraging students, 1.7 percent, <u>category 2</u>. She asked questions, <u>category 4</u>, and students responded, <u>category 8</u>. There was very little criticizing or justifying authority, 1.3 percent, <u>category 7</u>.

This teacher talked 51 percent of the total time of the observation and the students talked 33 percent of the total observation.

The teaching approach was more direct, I/D .58, than indirect. An I/D ratio of 1.0 means that for every indirect statement there was one direct statement. A I/D ratio of 2.0 means that for every two indirect statements, there was one direct statement, etc.

The teacher was indirect in her motivation and control in this particular classroom, i/d = 1.6. This ratio eliminates the effects of categories and gives evidence about whether the teacher is direct or indirect in her approach to motivation and control.

"The use of the Interaction Matrices and the use of Video Tape recordings is one concrete device which could be used by the supervisor during visitation to help the teacher increase his effectiveness."²

Table 4 shows a summary of interaction scores.

The most conspicious feature of the observed biology classes was the preponderance of teacher talk. There seemed to be very little difference between the direct and indirect teaching approach for this eight week average as noted in Table 4. There was 70 percent in the indirect experi-

James R. Marks, Emery Stoops, Joyce King Stoops, <u>Handbook of</u> Educational Supervision (Boston: Allyn Bacon, Inc., 1971) p. 295.

mental group I-A and 70 for I-B, while the control groups were slightly higher with D-A having 78 percent and D-B, 80 percent.

The average student talk as noted on Table 4 was higher for the experimental group than for the control group. Students in the classroom of the indirect teacher, according to this information, expressed themselves more freely.

The experimental groups I-A and I-B were taught using an indirect verbal approach; this is confirmed by the indirect/direct (I/D) ratio in Table 4. The control groups, D-A and D-B were taught using a direct approach.

TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF INTERACTION SCORES

11						
p/i	4.34 6.84 1.6 2.84	3 4.65 .96 1.80	3.56 2.80 .650	7.44 3.38 .589	2.61 2.33 .608 .475	1.77 5.35 .630
I/D	1.98 2.28 58 .86	1.55 1.58 .336	1.74 1.74 .322 .484	2.15 1.79 .379	1.44 1.58 .480	1.38 2.16 5 .261
Silence %	1.9 1.1 14	1.9 3.1.1 3.9	3.9 2.7 2.1 1.9	0.01. 0.02.0	1.00	2.9 1.9 1.9
Student Total	24 28 33 25	29 25 17 14	28 28 16 30	24 26 17 19	28 28 17 18	22 26 17 17
Teacher Total	74 69 51 73	68 74 80 82	68 82 68	74 72 81 80	71 70 82 81	27 72 81 82
10	10 6 74 9	10 6 13 20	20 14 11	10 10 8 5	8 10 10 6	5 10 12 10
6	20 21 52 36	23 17 15 20	50 60 24 50	30 35 30 30	48 44 28 23	14 40 12 36
8	100 124 116 90	125 110 70 50	90 81 58 103	91 97 66 65	92 97 55 69	95 89 71 47
7	15 4 7 16	10 6 10 6	5 17 6	7 12 20 10	3 12 20 16	13 5 15 25
9	20 15 7 16	25 17 15 20	25 40 46 65	11 27 75 94	52 42 100 87	50 23 104 185
	90 88 151 165	100 120 278 260	95 82 247 158	100 90 200 169	90 82 157 210	100 87 153 115
4	96 115 73 80	105 120 78 80	111 95 59 51	120 100 56 60	96 90 60 43	114 99 61 38
က	60 68 12 40	60 58 21 25	56 63 39 41	57 61 30 51	51 64 50 31	47 63 42 35
2	52 36 39 32	25 27 0 10	20 16 2 10	52 29 16 13	35 35 13	30 59 17
1	40 26 2 19	20 22 3 12	31 47 0 9	25 42 10 6	28 27 10 2	35 28 16 0
Groups	I-A I-B D-A D-B	I-A I-B D-A D-B	I-A I-B D-A D-B	I-A I-B D-A D-B	I-A I-B D-A D-B	I-A I-B D-A D-B
Weeks	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th

TABLE 4

SUMMARY OF INTERACTION SCORES

Weeks	Groups	-	2	m	4	2	9	7	∞	6	10	Teacher Total %	Student Total %	Silence	0/I	j/d
7th	I - A I - B D - A	33 25 0	21 46 35 12	59 54 36 31	95 100 54 42	87 97 140 186	25 20 128 143	3 20 20	90 95 64 50	70 56 14 12	20 10 7	64 68 83 86	32 30 16 12	3.9 1.3 1.3	1.81 1.92 .451	4.03 6.25 .513
8th	I-A D-A D-B	288 0 8	30 44 13 5	44 45 53 42	100 96 57 51	85 61 154 163	27 60 112 144	2 3 15 16	90 93 54 62	70 60 25 15	20 13 12 5	64 67 82 84	32 30 16 15	2.23 2.55 9.45	1.83 1.72 .466	3.75 1.85 .582 .293
Total Fo	Total For Eight Weeks	leeks														
	I - A D - A D - B	6.1 1.3 1.3	6.6 7 2.6 2.7	11 12 7	21 20 12 11	19 18 37 35	5.8 6 15	1.7 1.2 2.9	19 14 13	8 8.7 5.5	 	70 70 78 80	27.4 28 19 19	2.48 1.8 3.5 1.7	1.73 1.85 .499	3.81 4.18 .766 .962

I-A - Indirect Group I Experimental

I-B - Indirect Group 2 Experimental

D-A - Direct Group 1 Control

D-B - Direct Group 2 Control

Student Perception of the Teacher's Verbal Behavior

Data on the perception of the teacher's verbal behavior came from the completion of a checklist by the two classes of twenty students in the direct control group, and two classes of twenty students in the indirect experimental group. The checklist and student responses are presented in Table 6.

The control and experimental groups perceived their teacher's verbal behavior as indirect. It was shown to be more indirect in the experimental classes, I/D = 1.3 and I/D = 2.0. The control classes, I/D = 1.1 and I/D = 1.2, were less. One difference between the perception of the indirect group and the direct group was <u>category 1</u> wherein 72 percent of the indirect group perceived their teacher as accepting and clarifying the feeling tone of the students in a non-threatening manner, and 52 percent of the direct group perceived this to be her verbal behavior. <u>Category 2</u>, praising or encouraging, showed the direct group's perception was 67 percent; but only 65 percent in the indirect group.

The greatest difference in the student's perception is <u>category 9</u>, giving directions, commands, or orders with which a student is expected to comply; the indirect student's perception was 55 percent and the direct group was 95 percent.

There is very little difference in the direct and indirect groups' perception of the other categories.

TABLE 6

STUDENT PERCEPTION OF TEACHER'S VERBAL BEHAVIOR

	Categories	Indire 20 No. Stu	Indirect - A 20 No. Students %	Indire 20 No. St	Indirect - B 20 No. Students %	Dire 20 Stud	Direct - A 20 Student %	Dir 20 Stu	Direct - B 20 Student %
1.	Accepts feelings	15	75%	14	70%	10	20%	11	55%
2.	Praises or encourages		25%	15	75%	14	%02	13	%59
3.	Accepts or uses Ideas of Students	15	75%	17	85%	13	%59	16	%08
4	Ask questions	15	75%	20	100%	16	%08	18	%06
<u>ئ</u>	Lecturing	18	%06	17	85%	17	85%	16	%08
9	Giving Directions	13	%59	თ	45%	18	%06	20	100%
7.	Criticizing or Justifying Authority	10	20%	9	30%	10	20%	10	20%
		I/D = 1.3	د .	I/D = 2.0	2.0	= Q/I	1.1	1/1	I/D = 1.2

The Pretest and Post Scores of the Experimental and Control Groups.

A standardized biology, Achievement Test, Examinations for Secondary Schools, was administered to four classes of Lane II Biology the second week of September as a pretest. Two classes were taught basic concepts of biology using the indrect verbal approach. This was the experimental group. The other two classes were taught using the direct verbal approach. This was the control group. The instruction lasted one hour each day, five days a week for ninety days. At the end of the instructional period, a different form of the same standardized test was administered.

Individual students' scores are given to facilitate immediate feedback.

The means and the standard deviations were compared to establish the difference between the two test scores.

The following symbols are used:³

$$\overline{X}$$
 = mean

X = raw scores

N = number of scores

E = Summation sign

$$SX^2 = \frac{E(X-X)^2}{N}$$
 = variance

SX =
$$\sqrt{E(X-X)^2}$$
 = standard deviation

William A. Mehens and Irvin J., <u>Evaluation in Education and Psychology</u> (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1973), p. 91.

TABLE 7
SUMMARY OF MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS

	Pretest		Post	test	Mean
	M	SD	М	SD	Difference
I-A	31.65	3.7	40.9	4	9.25
I-B	32.4	4.2	38.65	5.4	6.25
D-A	29.8	3.9	33.55	4.4	3.7
D-B	26.1	4.4	31.9	5.19	5.8

From Table 7, it can be noted that the mean scores on the pretest for the indirect experiment (E) groups were higher than the direct control (C) groups on their pretest scores. This was not planned; the indirect (E) groups and direct (C) groups were picked by chance. The pretest was used to measure the amount of understanding the students had of the biology subject matter before the treatment. Individual test scores are shown in appendix C.

The posttest was used to measure how much learning the students had achieved as a result of the indirect approach in the teaching of biology. Table 7 presents the posttest means and the difference between the two mean scores. The difference represents an increase in the score from pretest to posttest. Individual gain scores are shown in appendix C. It should be noted from Table 10 that the scores of the indirect (E) groups are higher than the direct (C) groups.

The standard deviation (S.D.) is a measure of the variability or dispersion of a distribution of scores. This statistic indicates the students' degree of deviations from the means.

CHAPTER IV

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this research was to find the answer to the following question:

Does the indirect verbal behavior of a high school biology teacher increase the scores which students make on their written tests?

The hypothesis was made, that the scores of biology students, exposed to an indirect verbal influence, will show an increase over those exposed to a direct verbal influence.

The experimental method was employed in this study. The experimental students were given biology instruction by their teacher who utilized the indirect verbal behavior teaching technique. The Flander's Summary of Categories was used to clarify indirect and direct verbal behavior. Data on the perception of the teachers' instructional behavior came from two sources: the completion of a checklist by the students on how they perceived their teacher's verbal behavior, and the analysis of the classroom verbal interaction by a trained observer.

A standardized pretest, <u>Achievement Examinations for Secondary Schools</u>, was administered to the four classes of Lane II Biology the second week of September. For five days a week, for ninety days, after the pretest, the teacher began teaching two experimental classes concepts of biology using the indirect verbal teaching approach.

The other two classes were the control group and were taught the basic concepts of biology using the direct verbal teaching approach. The subject matter was the same, only the verbal teaching approach was different.

At the end of the instructional period, a different form of the standardized test was given to all Lane II Biology students by their teacher.

Individual student profiles were made from both tests to facilitate immediate feedback on the different individual student's scores. The difference between the two means of the test scores, was established to determine if there were any increase in the posttest scores.

THE FINDINGS

All the mean scores on the pretest and posttest for the indirect experimental group and the control group are shown below:

Groups	Pretest	Posttest	Mean Differences
I-A	31.65	40.9	9.25
I-B	32.4	38.65	6.25
D-A	29.8	33.55	3.7
D - B	26.1	31.9	5.8

An analysis of the data of the preceding mean scores indicates the following:

- 1. There was an increase of 7.75 points in the average of the two experimental classes' posttest mean scores of 39.78 over the average of their pretest means of 32.03.
- 2. There was an increase of 4.77 points in the average of the two control classes' posttest mean scores of 32.72 over the average of their pretest means of 27.95.
- 3. There was a difference of 2.98 in the average between the means of the experimental and control groups.

The observer focused on the relative number of indirect and direct statements, I/D Ratio, the rate of indirect to direct statements, of the indirect experimental group and the direct control group. An I/D Ratio of 1.0 means for every indirect statement there was one direct statement. An I/D Ratio of 2.0 means for every two indirect statements there was only

one direct statement, etc. The following I/D Ratios were found by the observer:

- 1. The average of the experimental group's Ratio was 1.79 or almost two indirect statements for each direct statement.
- The average of the control group's I/D Ratio was .429, less than one indirect statement for each direct statement. D-A's ratio was .439 and D-B's ratio was .420.

The I/D Ratios indicated by the students' checklist for the experimental group were not much different from the observer's findings. However, there was a difference between the I/D Ratio of the observer and the students' for the control group. The findings for the students' I/D Ratio, on their perception of their teacher's verbal behavior, is listed below:

- 1. The average of the experimental group's ratio was 1.64. The I-A's ratio was 1.3 and I-B's ratio was 2.0.
- 2. The average of the control group's ratio was 1.15. The D-A's ratio was 1.1 and the D-B's ratio was 1.2.

The indirect teaching approach stimulated verbal participation by the students. The student talk (S.T.) average for the experimental group was 27.7 percent. The I-A was 27.4 percent and the I-B was 28 percent. The control group's average was 19 percent. Both the D-A and the D-B were 19 percent.

CONCLUSIONS

A review of the findings based upon the data presented in the study leads to the conclusions that follow.

The major question in this study was, do the scores of biology students exposed to an indirect verbal behavioral influence show an increase over those exposed to a direct verbal influence? Specific findings revealed that there was a mean difference of 2.98 between the averages of the experimental group and control group. The average of the experimental groups's

mean score gain was 7.75 and the average of the control group's mean gain was 4.77. The conclusion drawn is that the scores of students exposed to an indirect verbal influence will show an increase over those exposed to a direct verbal influence in biology.

A second area of concern was to determine if a teacher can be helped to define accurately his own concepts of desirable or ideal teacher behavior and modify this behavior in the direction of his ideal. The findings showed that a teacher can role-play both the direct and indirect teacher influence. The experimental indirect group had an average I/D Ratio of 1.79, almost two indirect statements for each direct statement. The control group's average I/D Ratio was .429, less than one indirect statement for each direct statement. The student checklist confirmed that the teacher can be flexible, and can shift his behavior as it becomes necessary. The experimental group's average ratio was 1.64 and the control group's average ratio was 1.15. As a result of these findings, it became clear that the concept of teacher flexibility is a major factor in determining teaching success. This leads to the conclusion that teachers can be helped to define accurately their own concepts of desirable or ideal teacher behavior and modify this behavior in the direction of their ideal. A teacher can make her behavior appropriate to the requirements of the class situation at the moment.

It was pointed out in the findings that teacher talk was high for both the experimental group and control group. The teacher talk average for the experimental group was 70 percent and 79 percent for the control group. This shows that teacher talk is greater when the direct verbal approach is employed.

The indirect teaching approach also stimulated verbal participation by the students. The student talk average for the experimental group was 27.75 percent and it was 19 percent for the control group. This confirmed

that the indirect teaching approach stimulated verbal participation by the students.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The results of this study seem to indicate that students exposed to an indirect verbal teaching approach in general biology showed gains greater than students in general biology who were exposed to a direct verbal teaching approach. Broad generalizations about teaching cannot be drawn from such a small sample and in such a short period of time, but a challenge for change speaks out. Further research is needed into a teacher's verbal behavior and how it can influence the cognitive development of Virgin Islands students. It is recommended that this research be replicated for one school year (180 days), by the Department of Education in all secondary schools in other subject areas in the Virgin Islands.

APPENDIX Å

OBSERVATION RECORD # 1

I - A	(brotogy betinitions)	lst Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Biology Definitions 1. Major fields covered. 2. Specialized parts of Biology. 3. Career opportunities in the field of Biology. -Teacher questions students. Students giving answers, teach- accepting answers. Teacher talk 373÷503=74% Student talk 120÷503=23.8% or 24% Silence 10÷503=1.9% I/D 248÷125=1.98 i/d 152÷35=4.34

<u>I-B</u>					Biol	ogy [)efir	nitio	ons)				 1st Week
Time Minutes					(Cate	jorie	es		•			Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10858288843385374438354381445544544421465	645524384338445844835433148448868544829865	685124338444885484395843104442362588341465	625124438444225888395844188882563488441565	624158438808324832595884593832564833844595	6245538538022944335594588593332548833388594	428553853854294833514538415322548444433564 46	458553854458899844414533415292582888433568	854445354458894848418443815212582833833544	850444358854278283818449815115582424825548	450884458440278384853889355115543828315584	85888445378334353889355515583823315580	Asked a question, accepts answer, and uses student's answer. Teacher talk 352:503-69% Student Talk 145: 503=28% Silence 6:503=1.1% I/D 245:107=2.28 i/d 130:19=6.84

D-A					Biol	ogy	Defi	init:	ons) 		-	<u> </u>	1st Week
Time Minutes		•				Cate	gori	es			· ,			Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 31 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	10 2 10 5 10 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6445010550549505555203515520309498998888888888888888888888888888888	1081090105555895755545235555510399885954298988	680400555585475556555455555003998589988994988	8810803545588067558885555555500999854484598948	88040358558567552255555555500399458849592948	88108035555556750255555555500995858884948848	8810405545556955055555555555558484498848 10554555555555555555558484498848	881080545555695508555555555555555555555555555555	8810405845555509555555555555555555555555555	8 8 10 4 10 5 8 4 5 5 5 9 10 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 4 10 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		- Students are using some time to look up the definition of a word. -Teacher is explaining something students do not understand. Students looking up definitions. Teacher talk. 261:503=51% Student talk. 168:503=33% Silence 74 - 503=14% I/D 96:165=58 i/d 23:14= **Sample used as Table 2
							47	,						

D-B	(Brorogy Berrin trons)	1st Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Biology definitions Students are using some time to look up definitions. Teacher talk 368:503=73% Student talk. 126:503=25% Silence 9:503=1.7% I/D 171:197=.86 i/d 91:32=2.84

(Scientific Approach)

I-A				(;	Scie	ntif	ic A	ppro	ach)					2nd Week
Time Minutes					С	ateg	orie	es	-					Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 21 22 22 22 22 23 33 33 33 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	1062613854495437484403744843582322144448855	668634354495437824453788843553421184488555	6634484588958372684440223837538411888445555	6638885889584746888880843894598811441845555	693223355295385863889584439459325484188555	695233455235395263428528,439449445888433554	665344455235384464828488859881884848433558	665348855435584804539483851881834384844548 9	6453838554355942008399833513348384848588	645184255835599440489483341331382348488580 10489483341331382348488580	685128255855594885887833445444433384884580 1	68512335555598285827834885448843484448580 1	645934355555578459427864385885883848848580	-Teacher questioned students; students answered questions. Teacher accepts answers & enlarged on student ideasTeacher lectured on the steps of the scientific method, scientific data, standard laboratory equipment etc. Teacher talk. 345:503=68% Student talk. 148:503=29% Silence 10:503=1.9% I/D 210:135=1.55 i/d 105:35 =

(Scientific Approach)

I-B				(;	c1e	ntit	1C A	ppro	acn)					2nd Week
Time Minutes					(Cate	gori	es				·	I .	Comment n Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 31 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 36 37 38 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 5 4 4 5 9 5 4 5 8 8 3 8 5 9 7 10 8 4 5 9 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 6 3 5 6 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	6544595458845378333359458859334256348844556	658859584338437833351453841528256483388456	658859584338847444444341521254883338456	655259538444885884841844381511254844443856	655253538448295848381844 9 81511558308884456	455253538805284888485388935551558342434555 50	455453553808384843435388935554554244881 4 55	855453553854394443835438144544458284821455	855853554448899884835433148442854288421555	555855524488894284395843144482468544441455	555355528884278838397844188832503544884450	370: Stud 127:	cher talk. :503-74% dent talk. :503=25% ence. 03=1.1 227:143=1.58 107:23=4.65

OBSERVATION RECORD #7 (Scientific Approach)

D-A													2nd Week
Time Minutes					Ca	itego	rie	s					Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	1065455557475553859555586555545558444458839	6654555558755555555555555555555555555555	66585555555553545555555555555555434488555	60 10 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	66555855555555885558859548485584448585	6453555555555555589555585954585884584444585	685555555555455595555585948585884584848555 51	655554554755445595555575588485434530848557	6555545547558844955555585184885844450848455	655558558755558855555585134355340450844855	6555555555555555555558955344450344855	65555555555555555555555555555544450388850	Student relating experience. Student needed some thing clarified. I/D 102÷303=.336 i/d 24÷25=.96 Teacher talk. 405÷503=80% Student talk. 85÷503=17% Silence. 20÷502=2.5%
	I												1

(Scientific Method)

D-B					(Sci	enti	fic	Meth	iod)						2nd Week
Time Minutes					(Categ	jori	es	•	_					Comment 1 Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 1 21 22 22 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10654845355555555144533494449135550355855573	66644554595559551455349440415400555855593	66644555554555554544548384041585055555554	666644555585595585455483840415850554353588	66440555535455545745489485845850558853584 105555354557455489485845850558853584	65440555545855385045855835845897502853548	658545455455245484855345885597552524588 2	6555454558585528504443553444485557550525583	55555544525855295786355284485555755055543	555557485155552954865555184435554955055589	55555085555555215444555513483956955055549	555455855555555558855565435996255455580	5 2 2	413÷! Stude 70÷50 Siler	ner talk. 503=82% ent talk. 03=14% nce. 03=1.9% 127÷286=.444 47÷26=1.80

(The Microscope)

I-A	(The Microscope)	3rd Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34 35 36 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Lecture on method and care of the microscope. 1. Techniques in slides. 2. Observation and diagrams 3. Value as an instrument of discovery. Teacher talk. 343:503=68% Student talk. 140:503=28% Silence. 20:50=3.9% I/D 218:125=1.74 i/d 107:30=3.56

OBSERVATION RECORD #11 (The Microscope)

D-A

3rd Week

Time Minutes					С	ateg	orie	S						Comment n Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 69 45 45 57 46 95 46 95 46 95 45 57 45 75 53 85 95 54 45 94 45 45 94 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	66945455586954964545558555555555444445944	669858555869589645455585555359555884445588	66585855536958968585555555559855834484588	665858555369587985855555555559855440488588	665354555363527935855555555555840488533	6653545556352343545555555555555344445733	6655585547635338554555555555995553448855555	665553554763534755855575564999555580845555	665533558765560755355475548855555584845455	66533355876556005533555475588855555630585063 10585063	6655955587655605335558755888855555435585550	410÷ Stud 82÷5	her talk. 503=82% ent talk. 03=16% nce. 03=2.1% 100÷310=.322 41÷63=.650
i							55							

OBSERVATION RECORD #12 (The Microscope)

D-B

3rd Week

υ- D													Sid week
Time Minutes					ı	Cate	gori	es					Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 33 36 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	108855585456588991960536349448669459465969	68855585456584991960555381245669454486996	688554858688991260445431285669854886995	688554858688991265454832385661858836995	8285568588884995265845342335661858836996	88655685558888995255344582355661358856336	888558889094355454446055665354876335	88855885553885905355850486055554476335 1486055554476335	888558855538859995553644465556665568476363	88855834453885999559464896455665533676663	88855834455885999559868396844665533376659	88855835555885999553868396844665534376550	Student read from textbook. Teacher demonstrated how to use a microscope. Student read from textbook. 10 indicates differer students speaking. Teacher talk. 340÷503=68% Student talk. 153÷503=309% Silence 10÷503=1.9% I/D 111÷229=.484 i/d 60÷71=845
							56						

I-A				1600	giric	1011	J1 L	1 ¥ 111;	9 111		<i>,</i>		4th Week
Time Minutes						Cate	gori	es		-	- · 	- -	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 39 40 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	10 5 5 4 4 5 9 4 4 4 8 0 8 2 8 4 4 6 9 4 4 1 4 5 1 8 4 5 2 5 2 5 4 4 2 5 2 5 2 5 4 4 2 5 2 5	5554459448854294864891455885928325442591	5558859882458884858381455384122325888575	5658859883428889858451845334123225832565	56542592348242748434358444438153225432549	5454253238540278443835384498153925832445	5495259238545378884535388883941155422482 57	5895459453384373284395438883241150815442	5545444453388478838394433814285156315448	55458448858838595843314545940314652	5545845894483958343597844310254286298632	5545345398053894343414084418244296214632	Recognition of Living Things 1. Characteristics of living things 2. Comparison of living things. 3. Form. 4. Movement. 5. Structure. 6. Size. 7. Chemical. Teacher talk. 372÷503=74% Student talk. 121÷503=24% Silence. 11÷503=2.1% I/D 254÷118=2.15 i/d 134÷18=7.44

OBSERVATION RECORD # 14 (Recognition of Living Things)

I-B

4th Week

Time Minutes					(Categ	orie	:S	•				Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 0 12 12 22 22 22 22 23 33 33 33 33 34 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42	10 4 5 8 8 3 5 4 3 8 8 5 3 3 8 3 5 4 3 8 5 4 3 8 5 4 4 4 5 5 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 6 4 6	685583543314338844835444148442868548229896	685539584310444889395843174442473583441416	645539784418448398397844188889563484442226	684559708459805488567784593332564838882229	624559458859808283564578519932567833339299	628451953841854293514538415222547444332526 8	628441953341458894414533415292543884732546	424841844381428898418443815112583838222544	45081844991824273818449815115572448115544	850485388935840273853889355115542883115558	8588353999358453793538893555155820331155550	Teacher talk. 361÷503=72% Student Talk. 132÷503=26% Silence 10÷503=1.9% I/D 232÷129=1.79 i/d 132÷39=3.38

D-A	(Recognition of Living Things)	4th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 33 33 34 35 36 36 36 37 37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Teacher talk. 407:503=81% Student talk. 88:503=17% I/D 112:295=.379 i/d 56:95=.589

D-B	(Resognition of Elving Inings)	4th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Téacher talk 403:503=80% Student Talk. 95:503=19% Silence 5:503=.99% I/D 130:273=.476 i/d 70:104=.673

Time Minutes Categories Comment on Lesson 1 10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 4 4	
	l
2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 5 5 5 5	k. % 3=.476

Film Strip (Digestion)

I-A				Fi	ilm S	itri	p (D	igest	tion)	•			5th Week
Time Minutes					C	ate	gorie	2S					Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 10 10 52 54 94 45 94 45 94 45 10 25 24 85 82 15 13 11 13 85 34 81 2	6102554944594588145592524458415141433544814	664558988598433185592458428615144439549458	6665589885984335859999458826655580849749454	666552932593844524999842846455584849781458	664552932533844528999842846855598389781444	6685523325358804229093823868444933881431844 61	6685543545353804240933823864444934435844	6645543545353858389933844468888899831445988	668558398532445832993534486888819841845924	668558528552442835993538056484811845884128	664553523882835993538086884811385384120	Teacher giving students directions for reviewing the film. 1. Phase of digestion. 2. Place of digestion. 3. Glands. 4. Secretion. 5. Enzymes. 6. Digestive Activity. Teacher talk. 344:503=71% Student Talk. 140:503=28% Silence 8:503=1.5% I/D 210:145=1.44 i/d 114:55=2.61

Film Strip (Digestion)

I-B				F 1	ilm :	Strip) (D	iges1	tion.)	···		5th Week
Time Minutes		, .			C	ateg	orie	es					Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34 35 36 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 5 2 5 2 1 4 3 3 3 3 5 8 3 1 1 3 5 1 4 5 4 2 8 5 8 4 2 5 2 1 9 3 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	627521833453341451654485442529983488844267	647521894459344451658682485429984833388967	667515394879480855652662885499948833333264	66751539887948485565246482489974844443668	645514418079838945653864824899783888843668	685524413841833944853868338399883833382663	685518013341303984255468338339882424482563	645518814341349988555864438339482828832564	685593954481489148855868443530842823333468	685513958485481148855465883539842444423868	645502148835831148555868883539485448829 46 0	Teacher talk 352:503=70% Student talk. 141:503=28% Silence 10:503=1.9% I/D 216:136=1.58 i/d 126:54=2.33

Film Strip (Digestion)

D - B					1111	, , , ,	(5	gest					·	5th Week
Time Minutes					C	ate	jorie	es.						Comment on Lesson
1 23456789 10112314516 1789212232256789333333333333333333333333333333333333	1045556535349448669445944796994645946965707	64555655382245669445448799822645486995501	68555655432385665885488699822685486995001	685556455832385665885883699423625836975041	645566854343335665835883699833685236325554	645565354583355665335885633933635856325740	68554545054635566653554476335656555476315740	6855858544865556665555447633564655476315704 3	645585354486455665555887635568655975515344	685585458896855665555337655564655155315344	665585858396845665555337655564655165545770	6655858583968456655555437655568655165545870	4 S S	eacher talk. 10:503=82% tudent talk. 83:503=17% ilence. 10:503=1.9% /D 133:277=.480 /d 73:120=.608

(Film Strip (Digestion)

D-B	(Film Strip (Digestion)	5th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	5 5 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 10 7 7 7 7 9 9 9 3 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 10 5 <t< td=""><td>Teacher talk. 405÷503=81% Student talk. 92÷503=18% Silence. 6÷503=1.1% I/D 92÷313=.293</td></t<>	Teacher talk. 405÷503=81% Student talk. 92÷503=18% Silence. 6÷503=1.1% I/D 92÷313=.293

The Circulatory System

1-A	The orreditions system.	6th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	The Circulatory System 1. Composition of blood 2. Blood as a trans- porting medium 3. Blood transfusions 4. The Rh Factor in blood 5. The structure of the heart Teacher talk 389 ÷ 503 = 77% Student talk 109 ÷ 503 = 22% Silence 5 ÷ 503 = 9% I/D = 226 ÷ 163 = 1.38 i/d = 112 ÷ 63 = 1.77
'		

OBSERVATION RECORD #22 The Circulatory System

The Circulatory System

D-A				TI	he C	ircul	ato	ry Sy	/sten	n				6th Week
Time Minutes					(Categ	jori	es				·		Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 5 5 2 3 3 3 4 4 5 4 1 4 6 4 4 5 4 5 5 4 4 7 6 6 8 4 4 5 4 3 5 3 5 10 6 8 6 5 5 4	6635343584545468464454476654268355550686558	6635344688586286845887665826345550686558	669549463252586326285880665836384545646558	469549963252586346825380665333435585586558	866699965454536586885330665533854565586558	865999967454536776845535665536454545586555	8651120678585367564455555665556840585586655	867122367151556756485555665546444565546655	865111365151556756825555665586484545586655	865122566151556756335555665486838585586455	865133565551556756345355665486838585586450	400 St 8	

The Circulatory System

D-B	The Circulatory System	6th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Teacher talk. 410÷503=82% Student talk. 83÷503=17% Silence 10÷503=1.9% I/D 85÷325=.261 i/d 47÷210=.223

68

<u> </u>		7th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 0 12 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 33 33 33 34 35 36 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10	Reproduction and Development 1. Male reproductive system. 2. Female reproductive system. 3. Development of zygote. 4. Birth of the Child. 5. Principles of heredity. Teacher talk. 323÷503=64% Student talk. 160÷503=32% Silence 20÷503=3.9% I/D 208÷115=1.81 i/d 113÷ 28=4.03
		1

I-B	Reproduction and Development	7th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1	Teacher talk. 342:503=68% Student talk. 151:503=30% Silence. 10:503=1.9% I/D 225:117=1.92 i/d 125:20=6.25

D-A		7th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 22 22 23 23 31 33 33 33 34 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 7 5	Teacher talk. 418:503=83% Student talk. 78:503=16% Silence. 7:503=1.3% I/D 130:288=.451 i/d 76:148=.513

Bacteria and Related Organisms

D-A	Ducoci in and Keruoed organisms	8th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Teacher talk. 412:503=82% Student Talk. 79:503=16% Silence. 12:503=2.4% I/D 131:281=.466 i/d 74:127=.582

D-B		·	·		· 	<u> </u>	7th Week
Time Minutes			Cate	gories			Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 21 22 22 22 23 33 33 33 33 40 41 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42	109354355665355495357526884544556885564865596	654686586546566546755648666465635668386456	6569665365555065566556688882665635563555356 656966536556562676955666462445635563536856	65555365465565035566355568888836856755688446556 1355663556888883685675568446556	6555465866555755562555684568455675563485556	65555665365555755557555686858265675564455555 105556896848656755664455550 1055556896848656755664455550	Teacher talk, 434:503=86% Student talk. 62:503=12% Silence 7:503=1.3% I/D 85:349=.243 i/d 43:163=.263

Bacteria and Related Organisms

I-B	Bacteria and Related Organisms	8th Week
Time Minutes	Categories	Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Teacher talk. 337:503=67% Student talk. 153:503=30% Silence. 13:503=2.5% I/D 213:124=1.72 i/d 117: 63=1.85

OBSERVATION RECORD #29 Bacteria and Related Organisms

<u> I-A</u>				8th Week
Time Minutes		Categories		Comment on Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	6 5 4 5 5 10 1 1 5 3 8 5 3 4 3 8 5 4 4 10 9 9 3 8 3 3 8 6 8 5 0 1 1 5 3 4 4 8 9 9 4 9 8 10 5 9 9 9 3 4 3 3 8 6 8 5 10 1 5 3 4 4 4 8 9 9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 1 2 1 1 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	2 6 8 5 5 5 9 4 3 3 8 3 2 4 4 8 9	Bacteria and Related Organism. 1. The nature of bacteria. 2. Forms of bacteria. 3. Conditions for growth. 4. Saprophytes and parasites 5. Bacterial reproduction. Teacher talk. 323:503=64% Student talk. 160:503=32% Silence. 20:503=3.9% I/D 209:114=1.83 i/d 109: 29=3.75
}		7.5		1

Bacteria and Related Organisms

D-B	·		Ba	ıcter ——	ia a	ind F	Relat	ced C)rgar ——	nisms ———	<u> </u>			8t	h Week
Time Minutes					C	ateg	orie	es.							omment Lesson
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 36 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	1064555560153534944866954594859446459465766	666555561555382246669445448698846454865566	648555566555432286669885483696866854865566	668555565454832385665665883694686858365566	686555665855343335665635885598636858365666	684555455354583335665635887636636358565646	6885558554548463356656555447635656354765986	688555655850446535665565447635676554765986	6865556553544864356655558876356765588765386	684555653454846865665565337635676558765386	688555653858396845665555337655676556565506	68855565385839684566553544765565556665600	S	21÷5 Stude 77÷5 Siler	er talk. 03=84% ent talk. 03=15% ece. 503=.9% 98÷323=.303 47÷160=.293

APPENDIX B

STUDENT PERCEPTION OF TEACHER'S VERBAL BEHAVIOR

Check List

Please answer the following questions honestly and frankly.

Do not give your name. To encourage you to be frank, your regular teacher should be absent from the classroom while these questions are being answered. Your teacher will receive a summary of the answers by the students in your class.

After completing this checklist, sit quietly or study until all students have completed their reports.

Check five (5) of the categories that you think describes your teacher's Verbal Behavior.

 _1.	ACCEPTS FEELING: Accepts and clarifies the feeling tone of the student in a non-threatening manner.
 2.	PRAISES OR ENCOURAGES: praises or encourages student action behavior. Jokes that release tension, but not at the expense of another individual.
_3.	ACCEPTS OR USES IDEAS OF STUDENTS: clarifying, building, or developing ideas suggested by a student.
 4.	ASKS QUESTIONS: asking a question about content or procedure with the intent that a student answer.
_5.	LECTURING: giving facts or opinions about content or procedures; expressing his own ideas, asking rhetorical questions.
_6.	GIVING DIRECTIONS: directions, commands, or orders to which a student is expected to comply.
 _7.	CRITICIZING OR JUSTIFYING AUTHORITY: statements intended to change student behavior from non-acceptable to acceptable pattern; bawling someone out; stating why the teacher is doing what he is doing; extreme self-reference.

APPENDIX C

TABLE 8 I-A (EXPERIMENTAL)

Biology Achievement Test Scores

Student	Sex	Age	Pretest	Posttest	Gains
Α	F	15	42	61	19
В	F	15	26	40	14
С	M	15	33	46	13
	M	15	26	38	12
D E F G H	M	15	31	43	12
F	М	15	21	33	11
G	F	16	33	44	11
H	F	14	36	46	10
I	M	16	27	37	10
J	F	14	24	33	10
K	F	15	42	51	.9 9 7
L	F	14	29	39	9
M	F	17	34	40	7
N	F	15	26	33	6
0	F	14	34	40	6 6 6
Р	F	17	34	40	ь
Q R S T	М	15	37	43	6
Ŕ	М	14	31	36	5
S	М	16	42	46	4
Т	F	15	25	29	4
			EX= 633	EX= 818	185
				E	$(x-x)^2 =$
Pretest X	$=\frac{EX}{N} = \frac{633}{20} =$	31.65	sx ²	$=\frac{282}{20} = 14.1$	

$$E(X-X)^2 = 282$$

Posttest
$$\overline{X} = \frac{EX}{N} = \frac{818}{20} = \underline{40.9}$$

 $40.9 - 31.65 = 9.25$

$$SX = \sqrt{14.1}$$
 $SX = 3.7$
 $SX^2 = \frac{321}{20} = 16.05$
 $SX = \sqrt{16}$
 $SX = \frac{4}{20}$

TABLE 9
I-B EXPERIMENTAL

A F 15 31 B M 15 41 C F 15 24 D M 15 41 E M 15 9 F M 16 33 G F 14 53 H F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 15 28 EX = 648	48 57 36 43 20 44 61 41 45 39 33 48 31 41 26	17 16 12 12 11 11 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 4 3 1
C F 15 24 D M 15 41 E M 15 9 F M 16 33 G F 14 53 H F 15 34 I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	36 43 20 44 61 41 45 39 33 48 31	12 12 11 11
D M 15 41 E M 15 9 F M 16 33 G F 14 53 H F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	43 20 44 61 41 45 39 33 48 31	12 11 11
E M 15 9 F M 16 33 G F 14 53 H F 15 34 I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 16 23 P F 16 23 P F 16 23 P F 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	20 44 61 41 45 39 33 48 31	11 11
F M 16 33 G F 14 53 H F 15 34 I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	44 61 41 45 39 33 48 31	11
G F 14 53 H F 15 34 I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	61 41 45 39 33 48 31	11 8 7 7 6 5 4 4
H F 15 34 I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	41 45 39 33 48 31 41	7 7 6 5 4 4
I F 15 38 J F 14 33 K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	45 39 33 48 31 41	7 6 5 4 4
K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	39 33 48 31 41	6 5 4 4
K F 15 28 L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	33 48 31 41	5 4 4 4
L M 16 44 M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	48 31 41	4 4 4
M F 17 27 N M 16 37 O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	31 41	4 4
N M 16 37 0 F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	41	4
O F 16 23 P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648		
P F 15 41 Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	20	3
Q F 14 43 R F 14 27 S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	42	
S M 16 23 T F 18 28 EX = 648	43	0
T F 18 28 EX = 648	27	0
EX = 648	22	-1
	26	-2
	EX = 773	125
Pretest $\frac{EX}{X} = \frac{EX}{N} = \frac{648}{20} = 32.4$ SX ²	$=\frac{360}{20} = 18$	$E(X-X^2) = 36$
SX	=V18	
SX =	4.2	
		$E(X-\overline{X})^2 = $
Posttest $\frac{EX}{X} = \frac{773}{N} = \frac{773}{20} = \frac{38.65}{6.25}$ SX ² 38.65 - 32.4 = 6.25 or 6.3	$=\frac{583}{20} = 29$	
38.65 - 32.4 = 6.25 or 6.3 SX =	20 = 29 • V29	

TABLE 10
D-A CONTROL

Student	Sex	Age	Pretest	Postte	st Gains
Α	F	16	27	43	
	F F	15	41	51	
B C D E F	F	15	32	41	
ρ	M	15 15	22 28	29 34	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
E E	F M	15 17	34	40	. 6
G	F	16	26	31	. 5
H	, M	15	41	45	4
I	M	17	6	10	4
J	M	15	22	26	, 4
K	F	18	16	19	3
L	F	14	27	30	J 3
M	F	15 15	45 27	48 29) 3 1 2
N O	M F	14	41	42	1
	F	14	31	32	1
Q	F	15	22	21	1
Ŕ	М	15	34	33	
P Q R S	F	15	33	30	
Т	М	17	41	37	-4
			EX = 596	EX = 67	l 75
Pretest _	$\frac{EX}{N} = \frac{596}{20} = 29.$	0			$E(X-\overline{X})^2 = 31$
x = PosttestX =	N = 20 = 29. EX = 671 N = 20 = 3	<u>8</u> 3.55	SX =	$\frac{313}{20} = \frac{15.65}{}$	
33	.5 - 29.8 = <u>3</u>	<u>.7</u>	SX =	√ <u>15.7</u>	
			SX =	3.9	$E(x-x)^2 = 63$
			SX ²	= <u>614</u> 20	L(X-X) 0.
			SX =	V 30.7	
			SX =	5.5	

TABLE 11
D-B CONTROL

=========		=======	=========	=======================================	=====
Student	Sex	Age	Pretest	Posttest	Gains
A	F	17	14	34	20
В	F	15	21	33	12
Ċ	F	16	7	18	11
Ď	M	15	36	46	10
E	F	16	27	37	10
F	F	14	27	35	8
G	F	14	29	38	9
H	F	17	34 35	40	6 5 4 3 3 2 2
I J	F	14	35	40	5
K	M F	15 15	22 25	26 29	4
N. I	r M	17	25 37	40	2
M	F	15	38	41	3
N N	F	16	30	33	3
Ö	M	16	25	27	2
P	F	18	26	28	2
Ö	F	15	25	26	$\bar{1}$
Q R	M	14	22	23	ī
S	M	15	20	21	ī
T	F	15	22	23	1
			EX= 522	EX = 638	116
Pretest $\frac{EX}{X} = \frac{522}{N} = \frac{500}{20} = 20.1$				$E(X-\overline{X})^2 = 390$	
				$SX^2 = 390 = 19.5$	
Posttest X	$= \frac{EX}{N} = \frac{638}{20} =$	31.9		$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ \text{SX} \sqrt{19.5} \end{array}$	
31	.9 - 26.1 = 5	5.8		SX = 4.4	
				$E(X-\overline{X})^2 = \underline{541}$	
				$SX^2 = \frac{541}{20} = 27.05$	
				$SX = \frac{20}{541} = 27.05$	
				SX = $\sqrt{27}$	
				SX = 5.19 or 5.2	

APPENDIX D

ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATIONS FOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS

	MORTEVENERY EXAMINATIONS FOR SECONDAR	KI J	CHOOLS			
FORM	4 BIOLOGY		Time: 1½ hrs.			
	Dr. B. R. Whittinger, Author		Student's Score			
	University of Minnesota High Scho		Perfect Score: 108			
NAME.	NAMELast First Middle Initial Age Grade					
City	City (or P.O.Address)CountyDist. NoTime Used					
Name	of ParentsAddre	ess.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	To the student: Be sure to fill out to carefully and answer the questions on needed except scratch paper. If you cand go on to the next one. Answer as	thi: cann	s sheet: no other sheet is ot answer a question, leave it			
I. D	IRECTIONS: Write in each answer space the	let	ter of the best response.			
1.	The fruit of a plant	6.	The human embryo gets its			
	(a) is sweet (b) has much stored	•	food through			
	food (c) contains the seeds (d)		(a) the placenta (b) the			
	consists of the ovary 1.()		uterine tube (c) cell			
	·		division (d) the mother's			
2.	Animals have the same functions as		blood stream directly 6.()			
	plants except for					
	(a) respiration (b) irritability	7.	When the habitat of an			
	(c) reproduction (d) excretion		organism changes, that			
	(e) photosynthesis 2.()		organism			
_			(a) usually increases in			
3.	Identical twins originate from		number (b) becomes extinct			
	(a) two eggs fertilized by one		there (c) usually must			
	sperm (b) one egg fertilized by		change in structure or life			
	two sperms (c) two eggs ferti-		habitat or become extinct (d) usually is not affected			
	lized by two sperms (d) one egg fertilized by one sperm 3.()		(e) usually dies in great			
	refulfized by one sperii 5.()		numbers from disease 7.()			
4.	The essential organs of the flower		Trainbers from discussion in the first			
	are	8.	The post-natal period of			
	(a) petals and pistils (b) sepals		greatest growth is			
	and corolla (c) pistils and stamens		(a) the fetus (b) infancy			
	(d) stamens and calyx 4.()		(c) the embryo (d) child-			
_			hood (e) adolescence 8.()			
	Growth and repair of body tissues	_				
	involves	9.	A bald-headed father and a			
	(a) protein (b) fats (c) starch (d) sugar 5.()		straight haired mother have			
	(u) Suyar		children who have curly hair. Straight hair is a recessive			
			trait. The explanation for			
			ciuic. The explanation for			

9	. (continued) the children having curly		in both parents (d) this
	hair is (a) the mother carried genes for curly hair which she passed on to her offspring (b) the father carried genes for curly hair which he passed on to his offspring (c) both the mother and	16,	Proteins are different from the other energy foods in that they contain (a) nitrogen (b) oxygen (c) hydrogen (d) carbon16.()
	father carried genes for curly hair (d) neither parent had an influence in determining the type of hair in the offspring 9.()	17.	The history of the payment of bounties for the destruction of predators indicates (a) the results justified the outlay of necessary funds (b) the destruction of the
	Bacteria (a) need air to grow (b) are produced by toxins (c) are smaller than viruses (d) are macroscopic (e) more than one of the above (f) none of the above		predators corrected the bal- ance of nature (c) the pre- dator program disrupted the balance of nature (d) the program provided a much stronger game animal popu- lation
11.	Girdling is likely to be destruc- tive to a tree because (a) the growing tissue is likely to be squeezed (b) the conducting tissue may be destroyed (c) the stem cannot get air (d) the roots		Plants that grow each year from seeds are (a) biennials (b) annuals (c) spermatophytes (d) perennials
	will be extruded by osmotic pressure	19.	The unique contribution legumes make to the soil in which they are planted, is
12.	Secretions of endocrine glands are referred to as (a) enzymes (b) lymph (c) toxins (d) hormones (e) anti-toxins		(a) the fortifying of the calcium content (b) the rich supply of humus (c) the forti- fication of nitrates by har- boring nitrogen fixers (d) the production of a superior
13.	Reference to an organism indicates (a) anything made up of organs (b) anything consisting of organic matter (c) any living thing (d) a systemic organization13.()	20.	mulch
14.	A person with blood type "0" may give blood to another person with blood type (a) A (b) B (c) AB (d) more than one of the above		a living thing lives on organic matter which is dead (c) two things live in a parasite-host relationship (d) two living things associate in life with mutual benefit20.()
15.	When two brown eyed parents have a blue eyed child it probably indicates (a) hybird eye genes in one parent (b) a mutation (c) hybird eye genes	21.	Tissue is best illustrated by (a) similar cells working together in a common function (b) such structures as the brain, heart, kidney or

33.	The eye defect known as far- sightedness can be corrected by (a) use of a concave lens (b) use of a triangular lens (c) use of a convex lens (d) merely	40.	The center of reflex activity is mainly in the (a) cerebrum (b) cerebellum (c) spinal cord (d) sensory neuron
34.	exercising the eyes		The main characteristic of insects is (a) six legs (b) four wings (c) antennae (d) exo-skele-ton
	fection (c) exposure to the disease would produce best results (d) inheritable conditions can be relied on to produce desirable results		(a) are much smaller (b) carry oxygen to the living cells (c) remain fairly constant (d) move out of blood vessels into tissue spaces. 42.(
35.	Man's success as an organism can be attributed to (a) more efficient muscular development (b) more highly developed sense of sight (c) highly developed cerebrum (d) fixed inborn behavior patterns	43.	Berger's disease is usually associated with (a) vitamin deficiency (b) use of tobacco (c) kidney conditions (d) the use of narcotic substances 43.()
36.	Osmosis is characterized by (a) diffusion of gases through a permeable membrane (b) movement of particles through a membrane from the greater to lesser den- sity (c) diffusion of color through an impermeable membrane from grea- ter to lesser concentration (d) diffision of water through a semi- permeable membrane for greater to lesser concentration	44.	A biology class tried to determine the effectiveness of two hand-lotions. Lotion "A" and lotion "B" were applied to a randomly selected group of 50 right-handed people, alternating the two lotions between the two hands on each subject without the subject's knowledge of which lotion was placed on which hand. One recommendation we could make for this plan
37.	The presence of Chlorophyll in plants (a) enables plants to withstand plasmolysis (b) enables plants to produce food (c) gives a green color (d) increases the rate of transpiration		would be (a) place the same lotion on both hands (b) include a third classification of no lotion or false lotion on a third of the subjects (c) place the lotions A or B on
38.	Plant cells produce a commercially important product called (a) fibrinogen (b) toxoid (c) cellulose (d) hyalin 38.()	45	the same hand, right or left (d) mix the two lotions to- gether before applying 44.(The downward curling of a leaf
39.	Oviparous animals differ from vivi- parous in having (a) a gestation period (b) external fertilization (c) internal develop- ment (d) an incubation period 39.()	70.	at various times (a) reduces transpiration (b) increases photosynthesis (c) indicates increase in osmosis (d) is due to rapid respiration

^-

46.	The cambium of a stem (a) provides for oxygen exchange (b) increases the diameter of a stem (c) is the principal conductor of fluids (d) increases the length of a stem		In diabetes the excess sugar goes into the urine. A doctor testing a urine specimen for sugar would use (a) iodine (b)phenolphthalein (c) Fehling's solution (d) lime water
47.	The mathematical sex ratio tends to be (a) 3:1 (b) 2:1 (c) 2:2 (d) 8:10	56.)	all the rest?
48.	Evergreens are plants which (a) do not drop their leaves (b) drop their leaves in the fall (c) drop their leaves in the spring (d) do not drop all leaves at the same time	57.)	Geotropism is the (a) tendency of a plant to grow toward the sun (b) study of geographical influence (c) tendency of man to migrate (d) ten- dency of plant roots to
49.	The start of a young plant in seed is (a) a cotyledon (B) an hilum (c) an endosperm (d) an embryo49.()) 58.	respond to gravity57.(We would expect to find no
50.	Monocot stems are different from Dicot stems in that (a) the vascular bundles are scattered		nervous system in the (a) earthworm (b) amoeba (c) fish (d) hydra58.(
	in the monocot (b) the monocot stem has no support (c) monocots have no vascular bundles (d) dicot stems have no pith	59 .)	If a moth causes damage by chewing, we would blame it on the (a) adult (b) nymph (c) larvae(d) pupae59.(
51.	Pollination has occurred when (a) pollen has been transferre from flower to flower (b) the sperm cell unites with the egg cell (c) pollen has been transferred from stamen to pistil (d) pollen has been trans- ferred from pistil to stamen51.(60.	A disease very often associated with hookworm, in countries with high percentage of infection is (a) trichinosis (b) tuberculosis (c) ascaris (d) taenia60.(
52.	The main devices of water absorption in the plant are the (a) stomata (b) lenticles (c) cuticle (d) root hairs52.(CTION: In each of the following statements, one term is not related to the others in the group Select the letter of the misfit
53.	In mitosis the chromosones (a)double in number (b) remain the	C 1	and place it in the answer space.
	same in number (c) triple in number (d) are reduced in half53.(.)	<pre>(a) mushroom (b) wheat rust (c) diatoms (d) bread mold61.(</pre>
54.	A disease associated with lack of iodine in the diet is (a) cancer (b) diabetes (c) simple goiter	62.	(a) mistletoe (b) lichen(c) tubercular bacillus(d) lilac mildew62.(
	(d) yellow fever (e) arterial sclerosis54.(63. .)	(a) spores (b) fission (c) budding (d) conjugation63.(

64.	<pre>(a) spider (b) locust (c) katydid (d) cockroach64.()</pre>	PHYLA
65.	(a) garter snake (b) painted	A. Arthropods 76. Flower-Like animals()
	turtle (c) skunk (d) tiger salamander65.()	B. Chordates 77. Soft bodied animals ()
66.	<pre>(a) respiration (b) osmosis (c) irritability (d) repro- duction66.()</pre>	C. Coelente- rates 78. First jointed appendages()
67.	<pre>(a) pituitary (b)thyroid (c) salivary (d) adrenal67.()</pre>	D. Echinoderms 79. Single celled animals()
68.	(a) egg (b) pupa (c) larva (d) nymph68.()	E. Flat worms 80. Worms with one body opening ()
69.	<pre>(a) Bantin (b) deVries (c) Mendel (d) Thomas Morgan.69.()</pre>	F. Mollusca 81. Have a dorsal nerve cord))
70.	<pre>(a) Plumule (b) hypocotyl (c) cotyledon (d) cambium70.()</pre>	G. Protozoa 82. Have a water vas- cular system.()
III	DIRECTIONS: Write in each answer space the letter of the term that includes all the rest.	H. Porifera 83. First segmented bodies()
71.	(a) sensory (b) motory	I. Round worms 84. First many celled animals()
72.	(c) associative (d)neuron71.() (a) insulin (b) hormone (c) thyroxin (d) estrogen72.()	J. Segmented worms 85. Have a chitinous exoskeleton()
73.	(-) (b)	V DIRECTIONS: In the answer space after each description, write the letter
74.	(a) protozoa (b) bacillus(c) micro-organisms(d) bacteria	showing which process is involved. PROCESSES (R) respiration (P) photosynthesis
75.	(a) veins (b) leaf (c) midrib (d) petiole 75.()	(R) respiration (P) photosynthesis (N) neither process DESCRIPTIONS
IV.	DIRECTIONS: Select the letter of the phylum which best fits each description and place it in the answer space after the description. Some phyla may be used more than once, and some may not be used at all.	86. Requires sunlight

in an incubator for two days.

Upon examining the dish, colonies of bacteria were found.

VI DIRECTIONS: On the basis of the above data, evaluate the following conclusions. In each answer space write (A) if you agree, (D) if you disagr-d, or (N) if the conclusion is not warranted because of insufficient data.

- 93. Bacteria are found on human hands.....93.(...)
- 94. Agar is the best medium for growing bacteria.....94.(...)
- 95. Bacteria are found in air.....95.(...)
- 96. A control dish which had not been exposed to hands should have been used......96.(...)
- 97. Hands washed with soap are bacteria free..... 97.(...)
- 98. Bacteria were already present in the agar medium before the medium was touched.....98.(...)
- 99. Bacteria grow only under certain conditions.....99.(...)
- 100. No reliable conclusions can be drawn from the experiment 100.(...)

A solution of agar-agar is made, stoppered, and allowed to cool. It is not sterilized. Three days later, bacteria are noted growing beneath the surface of the agar. An inoculation is made from this culture into two sterilized agar slants. One inoculation on the surface of the agar, the other beneath the surface of the agar. After three days of incubation, the surface inoculation showed no bacteria, while the subsurface inoculation was growing nicely. This experiment was repeated six times, each time with the same results.

VII DIRECTIONS: Evaluate the following conclusions. Indicate agreement with an A. Indicate disagreement with a D. Indicate insufficient evidence with an N.

- 101. The bacteria we are dealing with here are anaerobes.........101.(...)
- 102. These bacteria are destroyed by the presence of light.....102.(...)
- 104. These bacteria seem to grow in the absence of air......104.(...)
- 105. These bacteria seem to thrive in the absence of feed.....105.(...)
- 106. The bacteria in the original culture were present in the agar solution when it was stoppered.....106.(...)
- 108. There seem to be no limiting factors connected with the growth of these bacteria...108.(...)

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amidon, Edmund J. and Flanders, A. Ned, <u>The Role of the Teacher in the</u> Classroom. Minnesota: Paul S. Amidon and Associates, Inc., 1963.
- Amidon, Edmund J. and E. Hunter, 1967. <u>Verbal Interaction in the Class-room</u>. The Verbal Interaction Category System. <u>Interaction Analysis: Theory, Research, and Application</u>, ed. by E. J. Amidon, Addison-Wesly.
- Amidon, Edmund J., "Interaction Analysis and Microteaching Skill Development in Teaching." Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED036469, 1969.
- Biehler, Robert J., "Impact of Class Atmosphere," <u>Psychology Applied to Teaching.</u> Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1974.
- Coble, Charles R., "Self-Actualization and the Effective Biology Teacher." The American Biology Teacher. 35 (November, 1973): 479-481
- vans, Thomas P., "Flanders' System of Interaction Analysis and Science Teacher Effectiveness." Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED05904, 1970.
- Galloway, Russell, L., "A Description of Teacher Behavior, Verbal and Nonverbal." Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED028134, 1968.
- Flanders, N. A., "Intent, Action, and Feedback", <u>Journal of Téacher</u> Education, 14 (September, 1963), 251-260.
- Kochendorfer, L. H., "The Development of a Student Checklist to
 Determine Classroom Teaching Practices in High School Biology",
 Research and Curriculum Development in Science Education, ed. by
 A. E. Lee, Science Education Center, University of Texas, Austin,
 1967.
- La Shier, W. S., "The Use of Interaction Analysis in BSCS Laboratory Block Classroom". Paper read at National Science Teachers' Association meeting, New York City, April 3, 1966.
- Lippit, R. and White, R. K., "An Experimental Study of Leadership and Group Life", <u>Readings in Social Psychology</u>, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1958.
- Marks, James R.; Stoops, Emery; and Stoops, Joyce K., <u>Handbook of Educational Supervision</u>, Boston, Allyn Bacon, Inc., 1971.

- McLeod, Richard J., "Changes in Verbal Interaction Patterns of Secondary Science Student Teachers Who Have Had Training in Interaction Analysis and the Relationship of These Changes to the Verbal Interaction of Their Cooperating Teacher", Dissertation Abstracts, Cornell University, 1967.
- Mehrens, William A., and Lehmann, Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1973.
- Oppenlander, Lester, "Classroom Interaction and Pupil Effect", Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED038342, 1970.
- Orgen, James, "Does Curriculum Adoption Change Teaching Behavior?", <u>The Science Teacher</u>, 41 (September, 1974): 28-30.
- Parakh, Jal D., "A Study of Teacher-Pupil Interaction in High School Biology Classes", Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University, 1965.
- Perkes, Victory Aston, "Junior High School Teacher Preparation, Teacher Behaviors, and Student Achievement", <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts, Stanford University, 1967.
- Pieler, Philip K., "Psychological Study of Classroom Verbal Behavior", Arlington, Virginia: Eric Document Reproduction Service, ED027271, 1969.
- Pogiski, Alex and Voss, Burton, "Evaluating the Biology Teacher's Behavior in the Classroom", The American Biology Teacher. 34 (May, 1972): 279-281.
- Schantz, Betty, "An Experimental Study Comparing the Effects of Recall by Children in Direct and Indirect Teaching Methods as a Tool of Measurement", Ph.D thesis, Pennsylvania State University, State College, 1963.
- Starr, Robert J. and Schuerman, Carolyn D., "An Experiment in Small-Group Learning", The American Biology Teacher. 36 (March, 1974): 173-175.
- Voss, Burton E., and Brown, Stanley B., <u>Biology as Inquiry</u>, Saint Louis: The C. V. Mosby Company, 1968.
- Whittinger, Dr. B. R., "Achievements Examination for Secondary Schools", Biology Form 4. University of Minnesota High School, Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc., 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGIN ISLANDS

3 3138 00079 7216

650 54

Theses
.B55

65056

DATE DUE				
	 			
 	 			
	 	 		
<u></u>	 			
		 		

The Ralph M. Palewonsky Library
College of the Virgin islands

Corege of the Virginishands